NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

TROY R. ROSE JR.,

Civil Action No. 15-1604 (CCC)

Plaintiff,

٧.

MEMORANDUM ORDER

DR. GLORIA GERTZMAN, et al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff Troy R. Rose, Jr. is proceeding, *in forma pauperis*, on a civil rights Complaint for relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. At this time, the Court must review the Complaint to determine whether it should be dismissed as frivolous or malicious, for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or because it seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2).

Although Plaintiff declares that he is initiating an action under § 1983 (ECF No. 1 at 2), nowhere in the Complaint does Plaintiff allege that any defendant violated any of his rights guaranteed by the federal constitution and/or federal law. Indeed, Plaintiff characterizes the Complaint as asserting claims of "medical malpractice, damages, negligent infl[i]ction of emotional distress, emotional disturbance, physical pain and anguish" (id. at 7 & 9), and states that his claims arises "out of the negligence and gross negligence" of the defendants (id. at 9). Plaintiff makes no mention of the Constitution or any federal law anywhere in the Complaint, other than

Section 1983 actions require a defendant that, under color of state law, deprived the plaintiff of a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States. 42 U.S.C. § 1983; see Am. Mfrs. Mut. Ins. Co. v. Sullivan, 526 U.S. 40, 50-1 (1999); Morrow v. Balaski, 719 F.3d 160, 166-7 (3d Cir. 2013).

cursory references to § 1983. See Farmer v. Brennan, 511 U.S. 825, 837-38 (1994) (holding that a constitutional denial of proper medical services requires more than mere malpractice or negligence).

Accordingly, even though Plaintiff styles the Complaint as a § 1983 action, none of his claims actually implicates § 1983. Rather, Plaintiff's Complaint raises only state law claims. As Plaintiff has raised no federal claims, the Court does not have subject matter jurisdiction to adjudicate this case under 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

IT IS on this 3 day of Mw, 2016,

ORDERED that the Complaint is hereby **DISMISSED** without prejudice for lack of jurisdiction; and it is further

ORDERED that the Clerk shall serve this Memorandum Order upon Petitioner by regular mail; and it is further

ORDERED that he Clerk and shall **CLOSE** the file on this matter.

CLAIRE C. CECCHI, U.S.D.J